

8.6 Security and data governance

Name: _____ Class: _____ Date: _____

Total: 24 marks

KEY WORDS authorisation • principle of least privilege

Objective

Bound authority with grants and end injection by construction.

1 Key knowledge and worked examples

■ The wall

Authentication proves who; authorisation proves what; least privilege sizes the grants ✓. Parameterised statements send query and values on separate channels – attacker text can only be a value ✓.

■ Worked example: the grants

GRANT SELECT ON Student TO reader; GRANT SELECT, INSERT ON Enrollment TO clerk; – the clerk cannot delete, and a stolen session is bounded ✓.

2 Practice

2.1 Input ' OR '1'='1 in a pasted query does what on the sample schema? [3]

2.2 Name the two channels a parameterised statement uses. [3]

2.3 Why should the application never connect as the owner? [3]

3 Exam-style questions

3.1 The app needs: read Student and Course, insert and delete Enrollment, never touch Department.

Write the three GRANT statements. State what a stolen app session can and cannot do under them. State the extra grant an auditing role needs to read the access log, and why revoke-on-role-change matters. [8]

3.2 A pasted query matches every row; the parameterised version returns only exact-name matches.

Explain why the attack string cannot change the query's structure once parameterised. Give the four governance steps that keep the model true over time. State why encrypting the grade column does not stop a valid login from reading it, and what does. [7]

Solutions

2.1

- The WHERE becomes always-true: all 8 students match ✓.

2.2

- The compiled query text; the bound parameter values ✓.

2.3

- Every application bug would run with full owner authority – no bounds on the blast radius ✓.

3.1

- `GRANT SELECT ON Student TO app; GRANT SELECT ON Course TO app; ✓.`
- `GRANT SELECT, INSERT, DELETE ON Enrollment TO app; ✓.`
- Stolen session: can read students and courses, enroll and un-enroll – cannot touch Department, cannot drop or alter anything ✓.
- Auditor: `GRANT SELECT ON access_log TO auditor; ✓.`
- Revoke matters because privileges creep: old roles accumulate rights nobody uses – the audit loop must shrink them ✓.

3.2

- The query is compiled with placeholders: the attacker's text is only ever bound as a value compared for equality – it cannot become SQL ✓.
- Governance: classify the data, assign owners, set retention, audit access ✓.
- Encryption protects data at rest – a valid login reads through the database's own decryption; grants bound what the login may select ✓.