

Solutions

Authored self-check guidance: accept equivalent correct methods and supported interpretations. The points check reasoning, not an official grade.

2.1 A – It limits the speed advantage by noting a weakness. B supplies a historical function absent here; C erases the retained speed advantage; D confuses the middle limitation with the final response.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.2 B – The described explanatory action matches the purpose. A invents personal narrative, C a sales demand and D an unsupported forecast; none describes explanation of a difference.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.3 qualification – It limits the claim rather than simply repeating it.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.4 False – A quotation may be presented for criticism, contrast or context.

Correct response linked to the given case.

3.1 The passage introduces an earlier design, identifies an access problem, reports one proposal and a different tested response, qualifies the trial's success, and describes a refinement.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.

3.2 It qualifies improved accuracy by identifying a remaining obstacle. The trial still reports more accurate identification, so a total-failure interpretation contradicts the stated improvement.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.

3.3 The notes are a reported proposal, not the design tested and retained. The retained approach is a line pattern with a more prominent legend. Attributing the proposal to the writer as the final recommendation merges different stages.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.