Solutions

Accept equivalent correct methods and supported interpretations. The marks check reasoning; they are not an official grade.

2.1 C – The shared capacity satisfies the similarity goal. A adds a value judgement; B invents a shared date; D emphasises a difference instead of the requested similarity.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.2 D – Selection follows the goal, not every available fact. A ignores the materials goal; B and C assign evaluative or causal force to dates that the notes do not establish.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.3 shared – The synthesis must make the common feature explicit.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.4 False – Causation needs supporting evidence beyond coexistence in notes.

Correct response linked to the given case.

3.1 "Both Bay and Hill used volunteer teams." "Hill restored 5 km of paths, 2 km more than Bay." These select staffing and distance respectively without adding a cause.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.

3.2 The notes do not report why Hill restored a longer distance, nor any durability comparison. They report kilometres, not the number of separate paths. A valid sentence is "Hill restored a longer total distance and used recycled timber for its markers," without a causal link.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.

3.3 "Bay used local stone for boundary markers, whereas Hill used recycled timber." The shared year does not establish the requested material contrast. Omitting it meets this goal; a historical comparison could require it under a different goal.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.