

Solutions

2.1 Test fewer crossings or changed timing/sightlines while retaining the intended pressure. Compare actual audience visibility and expressive effect; identify who proposed, tested and refined the choice. Authored points for identifying relevant evidence, explaining a supported inference and a material limit.

3.1 Vary crossing timing, spacing or focus while keeping the central action visible, then compare actual audience visibility and expressive response. Document the individual's contribution and ensemble decision. The trade-off requires evidence against the shared intention, not automatic preference for clarity alone. Authored points for a relevant judgement, reason tied to the case and justified qualification or method. Accept a supported alternative.