

## 2.1 Rights, development and durable arrangements: supported rehearsal

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Class: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ **Total: 6 marks**

### Objective

Supported preparation: Analyse connections between rights/justice, development/sustainability and peace/conflict; Evaluate competing perspectives with bounded examples. This is original rehearsal, not completion of the assessed task.

### 1 Key knowledge and worked examples

Connect concepts through mechanisms. Access rules can affect rights and fair treatment; resource distribution affects development and future sustainability. A durable agreement needs acceptable terms, implementation and credible review.

#### Original source / task evidence

Task: two fictional organizations share a water supply. One proposes fixed priority; the other proposes need-based allocation reviewed jointly.

Separate what is stated from the interpretation.  
Rights, development and durable arrangements

Task: two fictional organizations share a water supply. One proposes fixed priority; the other proposes need-based allocation reviewed jointly. Evaluate both without assuming consultation alone ensures fairness.

Fixed priority may be predictable but can entrench disadvantage. Joint review can incorporate changing needs but requires information, authority and dispute resolution. Compare enforceability, available water, unequal capacities and those absent from negotiation.

### 2 Practice

2.1 For the worked case, identify the evidence and a conclusion it can support. Explain one material limit. [3]

### 3 Exam-style questions

3.1 A dry period makes the previous water allocation infeasible. How should the agreement be evaluated? Give a reasoned answer, evidence or method, and a relevant qualification. [3]