

Solutions

2.1 Compare actual versions against the shared intended effect, hear role-specific reasons and seek suitable audience feedback. Record the agreed choice and the editor's contribution without claiming every team decision personally. Authored points for identifying relevant evidence, explaining a supported inference and a material limit.

3.1 Compare actual versions against the shared priority, discuss performance and editing evidence and use suitable feedback. A justified compromise might retain the critical hesitation while trimming elsewhere. Record the editor's specific decision and its limitation, without claiming a universally best duration. Authored points for a relevant judgement, reason tied to the case and justified qualification or method. Accept a supported alternative.