

18.1 Language structure, perception and acquisition: evidence and transfer

Name: _____ Class: _____ Date: _____ **Total: 8 marks**

KEY WORDS morpheme • syntax

Objective


Order the units of language from phoneme to sentence; Explain speech perception and reading processes; Compare bilingual and second-language evidence. Original practice and authored marking guidance.

1 Key knowledge and worked examples

morpheme : The smallest meaning-bearing unit of language. **syntax** : The rules governing how words combine into phrases and sentences.

Phonemes distinguish sound categories; morphemes carry meaning. Syntax concerns organization of words; semantics concerns meaning; pragmatics concerns use in context. A grammatical sentence can be unusual in meaning. Children’s rule-based errors can show productive generalization rather than exact copying. Both learning opportunities and biological constraints matter; a single error cannot decide every language-acquisition theory.

Language is hierarchical: each level has its own units and rules



Worked case. A child says walked correctly and then says goed. What does the

second form suggest about learning, and what would be an overclaim?

Goed applies a productive past-tense pattern to an irregular verb, consistent with overregularization. The child is doing more than repeating every form exactly. This does not prove that input or reinforcement never matters or that one complete theory has been established. Examine patterns across forms and development.

2 Practice

2.1 The word unhappiness contains how many morphemes? [1]

A. one B. two C. three D. five E. eleven

2.2 A sound acoustically intermediate between /b/ and /p/ is reported as clearly one or the other. This illustrates: [1]

A. sensory adaptation B. categorical perception C. the phonological loop D. bottom-up feature detection E. spontaneous recovery

2.3 Revisit the worked case. State one conclusion its evidence supports and one stronger conclusion it does not support. Explain the difference. [2]

3 Exam-style questions

3.1 Explain how a message can have correct syntax but be misunderstood because of context, using an original example. Give the interpretation, supporting reasoning, a relevant alternative or limitation, and an appropriate check. [4]

Solutions

2.1 Answer: C. Un + happy + ness: three meaning-bearing units; letters are not morphemes. The other options do not satisfy the stated defining conditions.

2.2 Answer: B. Continuous acoustic input is perceived as discrete phoneme categories. Compare the exact process, design or evidence, rather than an associated keyword.

2.3 Use the worked case evidence to support a bounded claim and explain why a causal, universal or stronger claim needs additional evidence. The claim must fit the specific design and observed process.

3.1 Can you open the window? has interrogative syntax but may function as a request. Someone answering only yes may understand literal ability but miss the pragmatic intention. Meaning depends on speaker, setting and conventions. Accept another example that clearly separates grammatical form from use without treating a language community as uniform. Award B1 each (authored) for the interpretation, its reasoning, a relevant limitation/alternative and an appropriate check, up to four points. Accept a different justified answer satisfying these demands.