

Original two-blank case: “Although the proposal was initially (i) _____, the pilot’s con-

3 Exam-style questions

3.1 Original three-blank task: “Although the archive is (i) _____, its missing years do not make every conclusion (ii) _____: patterns repeated in the surviving independent records still justify a (iii) _____ claim.” Blank i: incomplete / comprehensive / fabricated. Blank ii: untenable / decisive / modest. Blank iii: qualified / universal / unrelated. Choose one per column and explain every rejection.

Solutions

2.1 erratic; provisional. Unstable readings justify a tentative interpretation. Consistent and definitive oppose the reason for caution. Inevitable contradicts “rather than established”; irrelevant discards the trend instead of limiting confidence in it. The “so” connects the evidence quality to the status of the inference. Both choices must work in the completed passage.

3.1 incomplete; untenable; qualified. Missing years support incomplete, not comprehensive. Fabricated asserts falsification that the passage never supplies. The negation “do not make every conclusion” allows some justified inference: untenable means indefensible. Decisive would praise conclusions rather than name the criticism being rejected; modest is not the relevant failure. Repeated independent records support a limited claim, so qualified fits. Universal exceeds the incomplete evidence; unrelated breaks the stated support. The blanks are semantically dependent even though the answer columns are separate.

Local review checklist: follow every response instruction; support the answer with the stated evidence; explain rejected alternatives or an opposing view; check scope, restrictions and clarity. For writing, this is a formative checklist, not an official rubric score.