

Solutions

2.1 Very close or nearby; the phrase gives no exact distance or travel time. It does not describe actual stone throwing.

2.2 “They” means the two groups; “this” means solving the double booking by sharing the table. Accept clear paraphrases.

2.3 A contrast between small size and an open feeling. “The room is small, but its wide windows make it feel open” preserves both ideas and the contrast ($2 \times B1$). Do not accept “because”, which changes the relationship.

2.4 Suitable for short group meetings, provided booking improves. A quiet interview is specifically a case where table sharing would not work; the recommendation is conditional, not universal.

3.1 One point each ($6 \times B1$): small rather than huge; positive opinion attributed to reviewer; booking conflict described; no online system approved; twelve voluntary current-user respondents rather than everyone; coherent neutral wording. Sample: “A student reviewer finds Brook Court convenient and recommends its small workroom for short group meetings if booking improves. Two groups recently shared the table after a booking conflict, an arrangement unsuitable for a quiet interview. No online booking system has been approved. Eight of twelve voluntary current-user respondents wanted separate quiet and discussion times, but residents who do not use the room were not surveyed.”

3.2 One point each ($8 \times B1$): clear recommendation; eight of twelve accurately reported; voluntary/current-user scope; non-users missing; explanation of quieter reading; recognition that discussion access must remain; a concrete review method; coherent, readable English. Different reasonable recommendations qualify. Sample: “I recommend a short trial of separate quiet and discussion times. Eight of the twelve current users who answered the voluntary questionnaire requested this change. Quiet periods could reduce interruptions for readers, while discussion periods would still allow groups to plan together. However, the questionnaire does not show what residents who avoid the room need, so it should not be treated as the view of every resident. Before the trial, invite those residents to comment as well. Publish a clear timetable, keep a record of booking conflicts and ask users whether the arrangement helps. Review these records after one month before deciding whether the timetable should continue.”