

Collecting Data

AP Statistics

Can We Trust the Data We Collected?

A conclusion is only as good as the data behind it. **How** data are collected decides what you may conclude – whether you can generalize to a **population** 总体, and whether you can claim **cause and effect**. Poorly collected data can be worse than none.


Observational Studies and Experiments

- In an **observational study** 观察性研究 you measure individuals without trying to influence them. It can show **association**, but not causation, because lurking variables may explain the link.
- In an **experiment** 实验 you deliberately impose a **treatment** 处理 and compare responses. A well-designed experiment **can** establish cause and effect.

Random Sampling

To learn about a population you take a **sample** 样本. **Random sampling** 随机抽样 protects against selection **bias** 偏差 and lets you generalize (it cannot fix undercoverage, nonresponse, or response bias — see below). Common designs:

- **Simple random sample (SRS)** 简单随机样本: every group of the chosen size is equally likely.
- **Stratified** 分层: split the population into similar strata, then sample within each.
- **Cluster** 整群: split into clusters, randomly choose whole clusters.
- **Systematic** 系统: pick every k th individual from a random start.



SRS: equal chance · stratified: sample within groups · cluster: take whole clusters · systematic: every k th

Four random sampling designs: who gets selected, and how

A **convenience sample** 方便样本 or **voluntary response** sample is **not** random and is biased.

Worked example. To survey a school, an administrator lists all students by grade and randomly selects 20 from each grade. This is a **stratified** sample – the grades are the strata – which guarantees every grade is represented, unlike an SRS that might by chance draw few from one grade.



Random outcomes: dice make each face equally likely under fair conditions

When Sampling Goes Wrong

Bias makes estimates systematically miss the truth:

- **Undercoverage** 覆盖不足: some groups are left out of the sampling frame.

- **Nonresponse** 无回应: selected people do not answer.
- **Response bias** 回应偏差: people answer inaccurately (bad wording, sensitive topics).

Bias is about a **consistent** error in one direction – increasing the sample size does not fix it.




Convenience samples miss the population: bias creeps in when selection is not random

Designing an Experiment

Good experiments follow three principles:

- **Comparison** with a **control group** 对照组 (often a **placebo** 安慰剂).
- **Random assignment** 随机分配 of subjects to treatments, to balance out other variables.
- **Replication** 重复: enough subjects per treatment to see a real effect.



A completely randomized experiment compares a treatment group with a control group

Confounding 混杂 occurs when another variable is tied to the treatment so their effects cannot be separated; random assignment guards against it. **Blinding** 盲法 hides who is getting which treatment to prevent expectation effects: in a **single-blind** 单盲 study only one side is kept unaware (usually the subjects, or only the people assessing the result), while in a **double-blind** 双盲 study **neither** the subjects nor the researchers who interact with them know, blocking both the placebo effect and biased assessment. **Blocking** 区组 groups similar subjects and randomizes within each block to reduce variability.



A clinical trial: random assignment separates treatment from control

Choosing the Right Design

Match the design to the goal: use a **completely randomized design** for uniform subjects; a **randomized block design** when a known variable (sex, age) affects the response; a **matched-pairs** design when each subject can serve as its own control. State how you would carry out the randomization.

What an Experiment Lets You Conclude

Two questions decide the scope of a conclusion:

- **Random assignment** used? Then a significant difference can be attributed to the treatment (**causation**) – for these subjects.
- **Random sampling** from a population? Then results **generalize** to that population.

Only an experiment with random assignment supports a cause-and-effect claim; only random sampling supports generalization. Say exactly which you have.

Worked example. Researchers randomly assign 100 **volunteers** to a new drug or a placebo, and the drug group improves significantly more. Because of the **random assignment**, the improvement can be attributed to the drug (causation) – but because the subjects were **not randomly sampled**, the conclusion applies only to these volunteers and does not automatically generalize to everyone.

Exam tips

- Distinguish an **observational study** (finds association) from an **experiment** (can show causation).
- Good sampling is **random** (SRS, stratified, cluster) — beware bias (voluntary response, undercoverage, nonresponse).
- Good experiments use **control, randomization, and replication**; blocking handles a known nuisance variable.
- Only a randomized experiment supports a cause-and-effect conclusion.
- Name the population, sample, and any confounding clearly.