

# Past-paper walk-through

## Competing Function Model Validation ■ 2.6

eXercise

## Questions in this set

- 2025 Q1

## Reading the mark scheme

- **B1** a mark that stands on its own – the point is either made or not.
- **M1** a *method* mark: the right approach, even if the number is wrong.
- **A1** an *answer* mark, and it usually needs its M mark first.
- **C1** a working mark on the way to the answer.
- **//** separates answers the examiner accepts equally.
- **ecf** = error carried forward: a later part is marked on your own earlier answer.
- **owtte** = “or words to that effect” – the wording need not match.

## Question 1

2025 ■ Q1

The function  $f$  is decreasing and is defined for all real numbers. The table gives values for  $f(x)$  at selected values of  $x$ .

|        |    |    |     |      |       |
|--------|----|----|-----|------|-------|
| $x$    | -2 | -1 | 0   | 1    | 2     |
| $f(x)$ | 14 | 7  | 3.5 | 1.75 | 0.875 |

The function  $g$  is given by  $g(x) = -0.167x^3 + x^2 - 1.834$ .

## Question 1

**(a)(i)** The function  $h$  is defined by  $h(x) = (g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ . Find the value of  $h(1)$  as a decimal approximation, or indicate that it is not defined. Show the work that leads to your answer.

**(a)(ii)** Find the value of  $f^{-1}(3.5)$ , or indicate that it is not defined.

**(b)(i)** Find all values of  $x$ , as decimal approximations, for which  $g(x) = 0$ , or indicate that there are no such values.

**(b)(ii)** Determine the end behavior of  $g$  as  $x$  increases without bound. Express your answer using the mathematical notation of a limit.

**(c)(i)** Based on the table, which of the following function types best models function  $f$ : linear, quadratic, exponential, or logarithmic?

## Question 1

**(c)(ii)** Give a reason for your answer in part C (i) based on the relationship between the change in the output values of  $f$  and the change in the input values of  $f$ . Refer to the values in the table in your reasoning.

## Question 1

|        |    |    |     |      |       |
|--------|----|----|-----|------|-------|
| $x$    | -2 | -1 | 0   | 1    | 2     |
| $f(x)$ | 14 | 7  | 3.5 | 1.75 | 0.875 |

The function  $g$  is given by  $g(x) = -0.167x^3 + x^2 - 1.834$ .

**A.**

- i. The function  $h$  is defined by  $h(x) = (g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ . Find the value of  $h(1)$  decimal approximation, or indicate that it is not defined. Show the work that le

# Solution 1

(a)(i)

## Mark scheme

- $h(1) = g(f(1)) = g(1.75)$ . From the table,  $f(1) = 1.75$ . Then  $g(1.75) = -0.167(1.75)^3 + (1.75)^2 - 1.834 \approx 0.333$ . So  $h(1) = 0.333$  (decimal approximation, accurate to three places). The point is earned for the correct decimal approximation of 0.333 with supporting work showing  $f(1)$  or  $g(1.75)$  or 1.75.

(a)(ii)

## Mark scheme

- From the table,  $f(0) = 3.5$ , so  $f^{-1}(3.5) = 0$ . No supporting work is required for this point; a response of “0” earns it.



## Solution 1

**(b)(i)****Mark scheme**

- Solve  $g(x) = 0$ :  $-0.167x^3 + x^2 - 1.834 = 0$ . The three real solutions (decimal approximations) are  $x = -1.233$ ,  $x = 1.578$ ,  $x = 5.643$ . No supporting work is required; all three values must be given.

# Solution 1

(b)(ii)

## Mark scheme

- As  $x$  increases without bound, the output values of  $g$  decrease without bound (the leading term  $-0.167x^3$  dominates and is negative). So  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = -\infty$ . The correct limit statement needs four components: “lim”, “ $x \rightarrow \infty$ ”, the function  $g$ , and  $-\infty$ .

## Solution 1

(c)(i)

### Mark scheme

- An exponential function best models  $f$ .

# Solution 1

## (c)(ii) Mark scheme

---

- In the table, the input-value intervals all have length 1, and the ratios of successive output values are constant:  $\frac{f(-1)}{f(-2)} = \frac{f(0)}{f(-1)} = \frac{f(1)}{f(0)} = \frac{f(2)}{f(1)} = 0.5$ . Because the successive output values over equal-length input intervals are proportional (by a constant factor of 0.5), an exponential model is best. The reasoning must reference the table values and show the 0.5 ratio applies to more than one pair of successive outputs; referencing “exponential regression” or  $r/r^2$  values alone is not sufficient.