

Exercise walk-through

Cost-Benefit Analysis ■ 1.5

eXercise

You must be able to

- explain that rational decision-makers weigh **total benefits** and **total costs**
- distinguish **explicit costs** 显性成本 from **implicit costs** 隐性成本
- apply the rule that an action is worthwhile only if its benefits at least equal its costs
- explain why **sunk costs** 沉没成本 should be ignored

Method — The decision rule

Undertake an action only if its **total benefit** is at least as large as its **total cost**.

Method — Explicit vs implicit costs

Explicit costs are out-of-pocket payments; **implicit** costs are the opportunity cost of resources you already own (e.g. wages you could earn elsewhere).

Method — Ignore sunk costs

A **sunk cost** is already spent and cannot be recovered, so it should **not** affect the decision going forward — only future costs and benefits matter.

Practice 2.1 ■ 1 mark

State the rule for deciding whether an action is worthwhile.

Solution 2.1

Method: The decision rule

Worked steps

proceed only if the total benefit is at least as large as the total cost **B1**.

Practice 2.2 ■ 2 marks

State the difference between explicit and implicit costs.

Solution 2.2

Method: Explicit vs implicit costs

Worked steps

explicit costs are out-of-pocket payments; implicit costs are the opportunity cost of resources you already own **B1** **B1**.

Practice 2.3 ■ 2 marks

Explain why sunk costs should be ignored in decisions.

Solution 2.3

Method: Ignore sunk costs

Worked steps

they are already spent and cannot be recovered, so they do not change the future benefits or costs of the decision **B1** **B1**.

Exam-style 3.1 ■ 1 mark

A sunk cost is one that

- A** can be recovered
- B** cannot be recovered
- C** is always small
- D** is really a benefit

Solution 3.1

Method: Ignore sunk costs

A can be recovered

B cannot be recovered 

C is always small

D is really a benefit

Worked steps

B.

Exam-style 3.2 ■ 1 mark

The implicit cost of running your own business includes

- A** the rent you pay
- B** the wages you could have earned elsewhere
- C** your electricity bills
- D** the materials you buy

Solution 3.2

Method: Explicit vs implicit costs

- A the rent you pay
- B the wages you could have earned elsewhere 
- C your electricity bills
- D the materials you buy

Worked steps

B.

Exam-style 3.3 ■ 1 mark

A firm has already spent \$10 000 on a project (unrecoverable). Finishing it costs \$3000 more and earns \$4000.

- (a) State whether it should finish the project.
- (b) Explain, correctly ignoring the sunk cost.

Solution 3.3

Method: Ignore sunk costs

Worked steps

(a) yes, it should finish **B1**. (b) the \$10 000 is sunk and irrelevant; the extra benefit (\$4000) exceeds the extra cost (\$3000), so finishing adds \$1000 of net benefit **B1 B1**.