

“The Paris department store [of the mid-1800s] was preeminently the ‘world of women,’ where women were encouraged to find their life’s meaning in consumption and where they increasingly found a role in selling as well. Thus, the department store played a highly significant role in the evolution both of contemporary society and of women’s place in that society.

The buyer’s desire for certain items was created through the tactics of large-scale retailing: publicity [advertising], display of goods, and low prices. The low markup of the large stores allowed lower prices and helped attract crowds of customers from throughout the city. The department stores could not simply depend on the traditional bourgeois clientele of smaller shops and so had to attract customers from among the petite bourgeoisie [lower middle class] by catering to their budgets and their passion for spending. Thus, the department stores brought increasing numbers of people into contact with modern consumer society.”

Source: Theresa M. McBride, American historian, scholarly article, 1978

1. Respond to parts A, B, and C.

- A. Describe the main argument made by the historian in the excerpt.
- B. Describe a relevant historical context for the development discussed in the excerpt.
- C. Explain one way that the development discussed in the excerpt affected European society.

EUROPEAN HISTORY**SECTION I, Part B****Time—40 minutes**

Directions: Answer Question 1 and Question 2. Answer either Question 3 or Question 4.

Write your responses in the Section I, Part B: Short-Answer Response booklet. You must write your response to each question on the lined page designated for that response. Each response is expected to fit within the space provided.

In your responses, be sure to address all parts of the questions you answer. Use complete sentences; an outline or bulleted list alone is not acceptable. You may plan your answers in this exam booklet, but no credit will be given for notes written in this booklet.

“In the exhilarating period between the years 1600 and 1700, . . . empirical inquiry evolved from the freewheeling, speculative frenzy [of previous centuries] into something with powers of discovery on a wholly new level. . . . [This was] a regimented process that subjected theories to a pitiless interrogation by observable evidence, raising up some and tearing down others, occasionally changing course or traveling in reverse but making in the long term unmistakable progress.

[The new method] permitted nothing but matters of explanatory power, nothing but a theory’s ability to account for the observable, to determine the course of scientific argument. Theology, philosophy, even beauty [became] strictly off limits. Scientists, if they chose to dispute, were obliged to do so in the empirical manner.”

Source: Michael Strevens, *The Knowledge Machine*, 2020

1. Using the excerpt, respond to **parts a, b, and c**.

- a. Describe an argument made in the excerpt.
- b. Explain how one piece of historical evidence not in the excerpt would support an argument about science made in the excerpt.
- c. Explain one way in which the change discussed in the excerpt affected European society in the period 1600 to 1800.

EUROPEAN HISTORY**SECTION I, Part B****Time—40 minutes**

Directions: Answer Question 1 and Question 2. Answer either Question 3 or Question 4.

Write your responses in the Section I, Part B: Short-Answer Response booklet. You must write your response to each question on the lined page designated for that response. Each response is expected to fit within the space provided.

In your responses, be sure to address all parts of the questions you answer. Use complete sentences; an outline or bulleted list alone is not acceptable. You may plan your answers in this exam booklet, but no credit will be given for notes written in this booklet.

“Prior to the seventeenth century, the most celebrated European city was one famous for its past. Visitors made pilgrimages to Rome to tour its ancient monuments or its historic churches: they were seeking artistic inspiration and indulgences rather than novelty and excitement. Then, in the seventeenth century, a new model for urban space and urban life was invented, a blueprint for all great cities to come. The modern city as it came to be defined was designed to hold a visitor’s attention with quite different splendors: contemporary residential architecture and unprecedented urban infrastructure rather than grand palaces and churches. And this remade the urban experience for both the city’s inhabitants and its visitors alike.

The modern city was oriented to the future rather than the past: speed and movement were its hallmarks. And as many Europeans quickly recognized, only one city was truly modern: Paris.

Visitors who wanted to contemplate ancient monuments still went to Rome, but those in search of the novel and the cutting edge—in the arts and architecture, in technology and commerce, in fashion, and in cuisine—were traveling to Paris to discover a very different experience. . . . They spent less time in churches and more in cafés and public gardens, less time touring cemeteries and more visiting shops. They wanted to eat well and to be well outfitted as much as to tour a famous cathedral.”

Source: Joan DeJean, historian, *How Paris Became Paris: The Invention of the Modern City*, 2014

1. Using the excerpt, respond to **parts a, b, and c**.
 - a. Describe one argument made in the passage.
 - b. Explain how a piece of evidence from the passage supports one of the author’s claims.
 - c. Explain how one development during the late 1600s and the 1700s shaped the changes described in the passage.