

## Solutions

---

Each answer shows the steps, then the **result**.

### 2.1

- effective when commentary explains a logical relationship between evidence and claim; sufficient when quantity and quality aptly support the line of reasoning

### 2.2

- quality rather than quantity, since the right few words closely read outweigh many loosely gestured at

### 2.3

(a)

- too little — one quotation carrying the whole claim; too much — quoting most of the poem

(b)

- it leaves nothing for the commentary to be about

### 3.1 A

- quality

### 3.2 A

- the commentary

### 3.3

(a)

- too much evidence and too little commentary

(b)

- cut to the two or three phrases the claim actually rests on and use the space to explain what those phrases do