

Solutions

Each answer shows the steps, then the **result**.

2.1

- revision to an interpretation and a line of reasoning if the evidence does not sufficiently support them

2.2

- the CED builds revision into the process, so an interpretation held against the evidence is a refusal to read rather than loyalty to a reading

2.3

(a)

- any two of: ignoring a passage; quoting selectively; explaining away what a character plainly does

(b)

- effective when commentary explains the logical relationship to the claim; sufficient when quantity and quality aptly support the line of reasoning

3.1 A

- insufficient support

3.2 A

- a refusal to read

3.3

(a)

- that the claim is not supported by the text

(b)

- revise the interpretation to one the evidence supports rather than defending the original more elaborately