

4.2 Authentication

Name: _____ Class: _____ Date: _____

Total: 48 marks

KEY WORDS hash 散列值 • hashing 哈希 • cryptographic hash function 密码散列函数
 • authentication factors 认证要素 • password attacks 密码攻击

Objective

Build the skills to answer exam questions on **hashing** 哈希 for password storage, **password attacks** 密码攻击, **authentication factors** 认证要素, and secure login settings.

You must be able to:

- state the four properties of a cryptographic hash function and say why each matters
- explain why passwords are hashed and **salted** 加盐 rather than stored in plaintext
- distinguish online from offline password attacks, and name spraying, stuffing and rainbow tables
- classify an authentication factor as knowledge, possession, biometric or location
- explain why **MFA** 多因素认证 is stronger, and configure the five login settings

1 Worked examples

Study these first. Each one shows the method for a task used later.

■ What a hash is, and its four properties

A **cryptographic hash function** takes binary data of any length and produces a fixed-length output - the hash, digest, message digest or checksum.

Property	What it means	Why it matters
Collision resistant	hard to find two inputs with the same output	a forced collision would let a different password authenticate
Pre-image resistant	given a hash, infeasible to work out the input	a stolen hash file does not directly hand over passwords
Repeatable	the same input always gives the same output	this is what makes a login check - and a file integrity check - possible
Fixed length	the output length is constant whatever the input	a 20-character and a 20-MB input hash to the same size

Collisions must exist. An n -bit hash has 2^n possible outputs but infinitely many possible inputs, so two inputs somewhere share an output. The security claim is

not that collisions are impossible - only that finding one is infeasible. If an efficient algorithm to force a collision is discovered, that hash function is considered broken.

■ Why hashing, and why salting on top

Storing plaintext passwords means one breach of the user:password directory hands the adversary every password. Storing hashes means pre-image resistance stands between them and the passwords.

But hashing alone leaks something: **two users with the same password produce identical hashes**, so the directory itself reveals who shares a password, and one cracked hash unlocks all of them. A **salt** - a few random bits added to each password before hashing - makes the two hashes different, so each password must be attacked separately and a precomputed table is useless.

■ Online or offline

	Online	Offline
Where	against your system	on the adversary's computer, using a captured hash
Detectable	yes - in the authentication log	no
Limited by	lockout, rate limiting, MFA	only the adversary's processing power

Named online attacks: **guessing** common passwords for one account; **password spraying** - one common password tried across many accounts, which evades a per-account lockout; **credential stuffing** - default **user:password** pairs, which works because switches, routers and IoT devices ship preconfigured with them.

Offline: **hash-cracking tools** hash candidate passwords and compare against the captured hash - hashes cannot be reversed, so the attack works forwards. A **rainbow table** precomputes each common password with its hash so the lookup is instant; salting is exactly what defeats it.

Password reuse is what turns one breach into many: when one organisation is breached, the recovered passwords are tried against the user's other services.

■ The four authentication factors

Factor	The proof	Effective when
Knowledge	password, PIN, challenge question	an adversary could not learn or guess it - so not a pet's name
Possession	access card, bank card, phone, token	the object is hard to duplicate
Biometric	fingerprint, palm, face, iris, retina, voice	the measurement is reliable
Location	Wi-Fi, GPS, time zone, IP address	rules can allow or deny by where the request came from

MFA uses **more than one factor** - and the factors must be *different kinds*. A password plus a security question is still one factor twice, and an adversary who has learned one has probably learned both.

■ **The five login settings**

- **Complexity** - a new password must include characters from several character sets.
- **Minimum length** - at least n characters.
- **Maximum password age** - the user is prompted to change it after n days.
- **Password history** - the system stores previous passwords so they cannot be reused.
- **Lockout** - after n invalid attempts, further attempts are blocked, which stops continuous online guessing.

Lockout is the one that directly defeats online guessing - and the one spraying is designed to slip under, by trying only one password per account.

2 Practice

2.1 State the four properties of a cryptographic hash function. [4]

2.2 Explain why collisions must exist for any hash function, and why the function can still be considered secure. [3]

2.3 Explain why a password-based service stores hashes rather than plaintext passwords. [2]

2.4 Two users choose the same password.

- (a) State what their stored hashes would look like without salting. [1]
- (b) Explain how a salt fixes this and what attack it defeats. [2]

2.5 State whether each attack is online or offline, and whether it can be detected.

- (a) Password spraying. [2]
- (b) A rainbow table attack on a captured hash file. [2]

2.6 Explain why credential stuffing works so often against routers and IoT devices. [2]

2.7 Classify each as a knowledge, possession, biometric or location factor.

- (a) A fingerprint. [1]
- (b) A code from an authentication app on a phone. [1]
- (c) A PIN. [1]
- (d) A rule denying logins from outside the country. [1]

2.8 Explain why a password plus a challenge question is **not** true multifactor authentication. [2]

2.9 Name the login setting that addresses each problem.

- (a) A user keeps switching between the same two passwords. [1]
 - (b) An adversary is trying thousands of passwords against one account. [1]
 - (c) A user's password is "password". [1]
 - (d) A password has not changed in six years. [1]
-
-
-

3 Exam-style questions

3.1 An n -bit hash has how many possible outputs? [1]

- | | |
|---|---|
| A | B |
| C | D |
- A n
 - B $2n$
 - C 2^n
 - D infinitely many

3.2 Salting a password before hashing primarily prevents [1]

- | | |
|---|---|
| A | B |
| C | D |
- A an adversary reversing the hash directly
 - B identical passwords producing identical hashes
 - C the hash output varying in length
 - D an online lockout being triggered

3.3 Which attack cannot be detected in an organisation's logs? [1]

- | | |
|---|---|
| A | B |
| C | D |
- A password spraying
 - B credential stuffing
 - C online guessing of one account
 - D an offline hash-cracking attack

$$[1]$$

A	B
C	D

- 3.5** A college stores staff passwords as unsalted hashes. Login settings require eight characters and nothing else: no complexity, no history, no maximum age, no lockout. An adversary copies the user:password directory. Two weeks later, forty staff accounts are accessed successfully; the authentication logs show each of those logins succeeding on the first attempt. Several of the compromised accounts are also used at an external journal service with the same password.

- Name the type of password attack the adversary most likely used on the copied file, and explain why the college's logs show no failed attempts. [3]
- Explain how the absence of salting made this attack faster, referring to rainbow tables. [3]
- The IT team argues that the eight-character minimum was "reasonable". Evaluate this, referring to keyspace and to processing power. [3]
- Explain how the external journal service is now at risk even though it was never breached. [2]
- Recommend **four** changes - at least one login setting, one storage change and one authentication change - and explain which single change would have done most to prevent this incident. [5]
