

Solutions

Each answer shows the steps, then the **result**.

2.1

- $F = BQv \sin \theta$, where θ is the angle between the velocity and the magnetic field
- the force is zero when $\theta = 0$, that is when the charge moves **along** the field

2.2

- the magnetic force is always at **right angles to the velocity**, so it changes the direction of motion but not its magnitude
- a force of constant magnitude always perpendicular to the velocity is a **centripetal** force, so the path is a circle
- since the force is perpendicular to the motion it does **no work**, so the kinetic energy and therefore the speed are constant

2.3

- the drifting charge carriers experience a magnetic force Bqv that pushes them towards **one face** of the slab
- charge builds up on that face, leaving the opposite face oppositely charged, so a **transverse electric field** appears across the slab
- the build-up stops when the **electric force on a carrier balances the magnetic force**, and the p.d. across the slab at that point is the Hall voltage

2.4

- $V_H = \frac{BI}{ntq}$, so the Hall voltage is **inversely proportional to the number density** n
- a semiconductor has a far smaller n than a metal, so the voltage is large enough to be measured

2.5

- the **electric force and the magnetic force must be equal and opposite**, so $qE = Bqv$

$$v = \frac{E}{B}$$

3.1 D

- $V_H = \frac{BI}{ntq}$, so a **small** n and a **small** t both make V_H larger
- **A** and **B** would give a tiny voltage, which is why a metal is useless as a Hall probe

3.2

(a)

- a carrier drifting at speed v feels a magnetic force Bqv pushing it to one face, so charge collects there and a transverse electric field E_H builds up

- equilibrium is reached when the electric force balances the magnetic force

$$qE_H = Bqv \implies E_H = Bv, \quad V_H = E_H d = Bvd$$

- eliminate v with $I = nAvq$ and $A = td$

$$v = \frac{I}{ntdq} \implies V_H = B \frac{I}{ntdq} d = \frac{BI}{ntq}$$

(b)

- substitute, with the thickness in metres

$$V_H = \frac{0.25 \text{ T} \times 0.015 \text{ A}}{2.0 \times 10^{22} \text{ m}^{-3} \times 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}} = \mathbf{1.2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ V}}$$

3.3

(a)

- the two forces balance, so $qE = Bqv$ and the charge cancels

$$v = \frac{E}{B} = \frac{3.0 \times 10^4 \text{ V m}^{-1}}{0.12 \text{ T}} = \mathbf{2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ m s}^{-1}}$$

(b)

- the magnetic force Bqv **increases with speed**, while the electric force qE does not
- so for a faster ion $Bqv > qE$, the forces no longer balance, and the ion is deflected out of the beam and stopped by the slit

(c)

- the magnetic force provides the centripetal force

$$Bqv = \frac{mv^2}{r} \implies m = \frac{rBq}{v}$$

$$m = \frac{0.086 \text{ m} \times 0.35 \text{ T} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}}{2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ m s}^{-1}} = \mathbf{1.9 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg}}$$

(d)

- the radius **doubles**
- $r = \frac{mv}{Bq}$, and with v , B and q unchanged the radius is proportional to the mass

(e)

- the magnetic force is always **perpendicular to the velocity**, so it has no component along the direction of motion
- work done is $Fs \cos \theta$ with $\theta = 90^\circ$, so it is zero; the kinetic energy and the speed are unchanged and only the direction alters