

3(a)	Using the data in Table 1.3, calculate the payback period for PPP’s proposed new machine. Definition of payback: the amount of time it takes for the initial investment cost to be recovered (1 if no valid calculation) (1.9) + 0.5 + 0.6 + 0.8 = 0 payback is 3 years or 36 months (2) 0.5 + 0.6 + 0.8 = 1.9 (1) Correct units needed for full marks – years or months.				
3(b)	Using the data in Table 1.3, calculate the accounting rate of return (ARR) for PPP’s proposed new machine. ARR = average annual profit / average investment × 100 (1 if no valid calculation) ARR = 0.2 / 0.95 × 100 = 21.05% or 21.1% or 21% (2) Use of ‘old’ formula 0.2 / 1.9 × 100 = 10.53% (1) Correct units required for full marks – %				
3(c)	Evaluate whether PPP should invest in the new machine.				
	Level	AO1 Knowledge and understanding 2 marks	AO2 Application 2 marks	AO3 Analysis 2 marks	AO4 Evaluation 6 marks
	3				5–6 marks Developed evaluation in context - A developed judgement/conclusion is made in the business context. - Developed evaluative comments which balance some key arguments in the business context.
	2	2 marks Developed knowledge of relevant key term(s) and/or factor(s) is used to answer the question.	2 marks Developed application of relevant point(s) to the business context.	2 marks Developed analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences.	3–4 marks Developed evaluation - A developed judgement/conclusion is made. - Developed evaluative comments which balance some key arguments.
	1	1 mark Limited knowledge of relevant key term(s) and/or factor(s) is used to answer the question.	1 mark Limited application of relevant point(s) to the business context.	1 mark Limited analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences.	1–2 marks Limited evaluation - A judgement/conclusion is made with limited supporting comment/evidence. - An attempt is made to balance the arguments.
	0	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.

3(c)	Responses may include: AO1 Knowledge and understanding <i>Limited knowledge [K] will be demonstrated through knowledge of one factor in investment decision / knowledge of impacts of process innovation.</i> <i>Developed knowledge [K] + [K] + will be demonstrated through knowledge of two factors in the investment decision / impacts of process innovation.</i> Knowledge of process innovation and its impacts Definition of process innovation: the move to new methods of production, in this case computer-controlled automation. Less labour required. Knowledge of factors in decision • Investment cost. • Availability of finance. • Impact on employees. • ARR and Payback results. • Technical economies of scale possible. • Reduction in average cost of production. • Impact on quality. AO2 Application <i>Limited application, [APP] applies knowledge to PPP once.</i> <i>Developed application, [APP] + [APP] applies knowledge to PPP twice.</i> • Payback of 3 years – within 4 years of estimated life. • Return of \$800 000 over 4 year period. • ARR of 21.05% • Cost of machine \$1 900 000 • NCL are \$6 million and gearing is 45%, total CE is therefore \$13.3 million • Extra borrowing of \$1.9 m would make gearing $7.9 / 15.2 = 51.97\%$ • Pies have a shelf-life, therefore over-stocking inadvisable
------	--

3(c)	AO3 Analysis <i>Limited analysis</i> AN – candidate shows one link in the chain of analysis. <i>Developed analysis</i> L2/AN – candidate shows two or more links in the chain of analysis. - Machine is costly, but the relatively high gearing of PPP increases risk of further borrowing AN causing cash flow problems for PPP L2/AN especially if sales fail to increase at the same rate as capacity increase. - Employee training to deal with computer automated system will result in increased costs AN, but PPP has a reputation for good employee training, which could be boosted by this L2/AN - Automation leads to increased efficiency AN and therefore eventually increased profit L2/AN. - Introducing automation may cause disruption to operations in the short-term reducing output whilst it is installed AN possible damage to reputation if orders are not fulfilled resulting in lower sales L2/AN - Automation will help PPP fulfil customer orders more quickly resulting in customer satisfaction AN and therefore an increase in sales L2/AN - Automation may result in a reduction in the number of employees engaged in production which will reduce operating costs AN but this will also result in redundancy payments being made L2/AN - If automation leads to excess stocks, waste may increase AN, which could lead to increased production cost L2/AN - Work may become more boring for employees resulting in a reduction in motivation and therefore an increase in labour turnover AN increasing costs L2/AN
------	---

3(c)	AO4 Evaluation <i>Limited evaluation</i> EVAL – limited supported judgement and/or a weak attempt at evaluative comment <i>Developed evaluation</i> L2/EVAL – supported judgement and/or reasonable evaluative comment <i>Developed evaluation in context</i> L3/EVAL – supported judgement in context and/or reasonable evaluative comment in context. - A judgement about whether to invest in automation/process innovation. - Elements that the evaluation/judgement might depend on: - If automation is used, then success greatly depends on increased demand. - How flexible might the machine be in changing from one type of pie to another? - How well does automation fit with the 'social objectives' of PPP's employment practices? - How important might the 'handmade' aspect of PPP's pies be in marketing? - Other factors to consider e.g. - NPV as this considers time value of money. - Depends on availability of finance linked to relatively high gearing and interest rate. - How long will it take to install the automated system? - Will specialist IT employees be required and how expensive is that? - Opportunity cost of this project. - Weighing up of the factors and their relative influence on PPP's decision whether to invest in the new machine Accept all valid responses
------	---

1

Analyse one advantage and one disadvantage to KTJ of delegation.

Level	AO1 Knowledge and understanding 2 marks	AO2 Application 2 marks	AO3 Analysis 4 marks
2			3–4 marks Developed analysis - Developed analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences of two points. - Developed analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences of one point.
1	1–2 marks - Knowledge of two relevant points is used to answer the question. - Knowledge of one relevant point is used to answer the question.	1–2 marks - Application of two relevant points to a business context. - Application of one relevant point to a business context.	1–2 marks Limited analysis - Limited analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences of two points. - Limited analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences of one point.
0	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.

Note:

- If more than one advantage and/or more than one disadvantage is identified reward the best advantage and best disadvantage.
- L2AN (3 marks) is possible for just one point made.
- All annotation (**K** **APP** **AN** **L2**) should be in the left margin.

1

Responses may include

AO1 Knowledge and understanding

Definition of delegation (max K 1 mark) is passing authority down the organisational hierarchy.

Note: Do not reward 'manager giving a task to a subordinate'. Definition needs to include some reference to authority or responsibility.

Knowledge of advantage to KTJ of delegation (max K 1 mark)

- Motivational impact on employees.
- Enables senior management to focus on strategic issues.
- Preparation for promotion.
- Improves quality and speed of decision making.

Knowledge of disadvantage to KTJ of delegation (max K 1 mark)

- Poor decision making possible.
- Cost of ensuring employees are capable of accepting authority.
- Negative impact on consistency of decision making.
- May demotivate employees who do not want any further responsibility.

AO2 Application

Limited application, **APP** applies knowledge to KTJ once. Developed application, **APP** **APP** applies knowledge to KTJ twice. Max one **APP** for application for the first element and max one **APP** for application for the second element.

Application is making use of relevant case information not just repeating it.

- KTJ has won awards for investment in employee training.
- KTJ's training investment supports effective delegation.
- KTJ has a flat hierarchical structure which supports use of delegation.
- Using examples from the case of delegation in action:
 - Research into use of plastic bottles.
 - Junior market analyst given task of recommending an important strategic change.
 - US marketing manager given responsibility for devising and implementing new marketing plan.

- 1 **AO2 Analysis**
Limited analysis **AN** – candidate shows one link in the chain of analysis.
Developed analysis **L2AN** – candidate shows two or more links in the chain of analysis.

Chains of reasoning highlighting likely impacts of delegation:

- Delegation will give employees experience of taking responsibility and therefore prepare them for promotion **AN** resulting in more effective senior managers in the future **L2AN**
- Employees need to be equipped with the skills necessary for taking authority and therefore KTJ must invest in training **AN** thus increasing costs and potentially reducing profit **L2AN**
- Delegation free up senior management time for strategic growth decisions resulting in more effective management of the long term growth of the business **AN**
- There is a risk of inconsistent decision-making across markets affecting the brand image of KTJ and thus resulting in a loss of revenue **AN**

Accept all valid responses.

- 2 **Analyse two impacts on KTJ of its commitment towards corporate social responsibility (CSR).**

Level	AO1 Knowledge and understanding 2 marks	AO2 Application 2 marks	AO3 Analysis 4 marks
2			3–4 marks Developed analysis • Developed analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences of two points. • Developed analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences of one point.
1	1–2 marks • Knowledge of two relevant points is used to answer the question. • Knowledge of one relevant point is used to answer the question.	1–2 marks • Application of two relevant points to a business context. • Application of one relevant point to a business context.	1–2 marks Limited analysis • Limited analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences of two points. • Limited analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences of one point.
0	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.

- If more than two impacts are identified reward the best two impacts.
- L2AN (3 marks) is possible for just one point made.
- All annotation (**K** **APP** **AN** **L2**) should be in the left margin.

2	Responses may include AO1 Knowledge and understanding Definition of CSR (max K 1 mark) • When businesses consider the interests of society by taking responsibility for the impact of their decisions and activities on stakeholders. • Benefits of CSR (max K 2 marks) • Can enhance brand image. • Increased sales. • Competitive advantage. • Greater control over prices – make demand more price inelastic. Limitations of CSR (max K 2 marks) • Cost. • Impact on cash flow. AO2 Application <i>Limited application, [APP] applies knowledge to KTJ once. Developed application [APP] [APP] applies knowledge to KTJ twice. Max one [APP] for application for the first method and max one [APP] for application for the second method.</i> Application is making use of relevant case information not just repeating it. • Research into plastic bottles to reduce environmental impact of packaging. • Using seasonal fruits in juices with no added sugar. • Purchasing fruit from local farm cooperatives. • Need to audit the farming methods of suppliers. • ‘Soft’ approach to managing human resources. • KTJ markets juices as premium products. • Seasonal local fruit reinforces KTJ's sustainability strategy. • Linking to mission statement
---	--

2	AO3 Analysis <i>Limited analysis [AN] – candidate shows one link in the chain of analysis.</i> <i>Developed analysis [L2/AN] – candidate shows two or more links in the chain of analysis.</i> • Effective CSR can enhance the branding of KTJ resulting in more loyal customers [AN] and therefore increase profit [L2/AN] • Having to change packaging of bottles will increase costs making juices more expensive [AN] reducing competitiveness against other rival brands leading to a reduction in sales [L2/AN] • Purchasing fruit from local farm cooperatives may increase costs making juices more expensive [AN] which will decrease profits [L2/AN] • KTJ will need to spend more money on auditing its activities which will increase cost [AN] • Commitment to sustainability can reduce price elasticity of demand and therefore enable KTJ to increase prices [AN] and therefore increase profit [L2/AN] Accept all valid responses.
---	--

3(a)	Using the data in Table 1.2, calculate the payback of the country C location. Responses may include Payback is in the fifth year (1) <table><tr><td>Year</td><td>Net cashflow (\$m)</td><td>Cumulative Cashflow</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>(10)</td><td>(10)</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0.5</td><td>(9.5)</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>1.5</td><td>(8)</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>(5)</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>(1)</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>4</td><td>3</td></tr></table> Payback is 4 years 3 months OR 4.25 years (2) Units required for full marks (Years/months) Common error 5 years 3 months (1 mark if with evidence of appropriate working e.g. attempt at cumulative cashflow)	Year	Net cashflow (\$m)	Cumulative Cashflow	0	(10)	(10)	1	0.5	(9.5)	2	1.5	(8)	3	3	(5)	4	4	(1)	5	4	3				
Year	Net cashflow (\$m)	Cumulative Cashflow																								
0	(10)	(10)																								
1	0.5	(9.5)																								
2	1.5	(8)																								
3	3	(5)																								
4	4	(1)																								
5	4	3																								
3(b)	Using the data in Table 1.3, calculate the accounting rate of return (ARR) of the country D location. Responses may include ARR = Average profit / average investment × 100 (1) = 2.8 / 12 × 100 = 23.3% (2) Answer of 23.3 or \$23.3 (1 mark only) Using alternative ARR equation: 2.8 / 20 × 100 = 14% (1)																									
3(c)	Evaluate which location KTJ should choose for its new factory. <table><tr><td>Level</td><td>AO1 Knowledge and understanding 2 marks</td><td>AO2 Application 2 marks</td><td>AO3 Analysis 2 marks</td><td>AO4 Evaluation 6 marks</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5–6 marks Developed evaluation in context - A developed judgement/conclusion is made in the business context. - Developed evaluative comments which balance some key arguments in the business context. </td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>2 marks Developed knowledge of relevant key term(s) and/or factor(s) is used to answer the question.</td><td>2 marks Developed application of relevant point(s) to the business context.</td><td>2 marks Developed analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences.</td><td>3–4 marks Developed evaluation - A developed judgement/conclusion is made. - Developed evaluative comments which balance some key arguments. </td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1 mark Limited knowledge of relevant key term(s) and/or factor(s) is used to answer the question.</td><td>1 mark Limited application of relevant point(s) to the business context.</td><td>1 mark Limited analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences.</td><td>1–2 marks Limited evaluation - A judgement/conclusion is made with limited supporting comment/evidence. - An attempt is made to balance the arguments. </td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0 marks No creditable response.</td><td>0 marks No creditable response.</td><td>0 marks No creditable response.</td><td>0 marks No creditable response.</td></tr></table>	Level	AO1 Knowledge and understanding 2 marks	AO2 Application 2 marks	AO3 Analysis 2 marks	AO4 Evaluation 6 marks	3				5–6 marks Developed evaluation in context - A developed judgement/conclusion is made in the business context. - Developed evaluative comments which balance some key arguments in the business context.	2	2 marks Developed knowledge of relevant key term(s) and/or factor(s) is used to answer the question.	2 marks Developed application of relevant point(s) to the business context.	2 marks Developed analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences.	3–4 marks Developed evaluation - A developed judgement/conclusion is made. - Developed evaluative comments which balance some key arguments.	1	1 mark Limited knowledge of relevant key term(s) and/or factor(s) is used to answer the question.	1 mark Limited application of relevant point(s) to the business context.	1 mark Limited analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences.	1–2 marks Limited evaluation - A judgement/conclusion is made with limited supporting comment/evidence. - An attempt is made to balance the arguments.	0	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.
Level	AO1 Knowledge and understanding 2 marks	AO2 Application 2 marks	AO3 Analysis 2 marks	AO4 Evaluation 6 marks																						
3				5–6 marks Developed evaluation in context - A developed judgement/conclusion is made in the business context. - Developed evaluative comments which balance some key arguments in the business context.																						
2	2 marks Developed knowledge of relevant key term(s) and/or factor(s) is used to answer the question.	2 marks Developed application of relevant point(s) to the business context.	2 marks Developed analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences.	3–4 marks Developed evaluation - A developed judgement/conclusion is made. - Developed evaluative comments which balance some key arguments.																						
1	1 mark Limited knowledge of relevant key term(s) and/or factor(s) is used to answer the question.	1 mark Limited application of relevant point(s) to the business context.	1 mark Limited analysis that identifies connections between causes, impacts and/or consequences.	1–2 marks Limited evaluation - A judgement/conclusion is made with limited supporting comment/evidence. - An attempt is made to balance the arguments.																						
0	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.	0 marks No creditable response.																						

3(c)	Responses may include: AO1 Knowledge and understanding Definition of payback / ARR / NPV (max 1 mark for definitions of any or all of these terms) Factors in the decision • Shorter payback. • Higher ARR. • Capital cost. • Revenue expenditure required. • Quality of infrastructure. • Access to labour and utilities. • Political/legal environment. • Quantitative and qualitative factors. AO2 Application <i>Limited application, [APP] applies knowledge to KTJ once. Developed application [APP] [APP] applies knowledge to KTJ twice.</i> Application is making use of relevant case information not just repeating it. Using data from Tables 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 • Country D location is double the capital cost • Country C has quicker payback by 9 months • Country C has higher ARR by 1.7% points • Country C may have lower wages • Easier to export from Country D • Bigger potential market in Country D • Competition between farmers in country C • Economies of scale in country D farming of fruit • Mission statement regarding sustainability and ethical relationships with farmers.
------	---

3(c)	AO3 Analysis <i>Limited analysis [AN] – candidate shows one link in the chain of analysis.</i> <i>Developed analysis [L2/AN] – candidate shows two or more links in the chain of analysis or balance of argument.</i> • Country D is a middle-income country and therefore households will have a higher income [AN] this will result in higher sales of juices [L2/AN] • KTJ juices are a premium product so will be relatively expensive [AN] so juices may be less affordable in country C • Leading to lower sales [L2/AN] • Seaport in country D will facilitate exports of KTJ's juice resulting in higher sales [AN] and may therefore increase profit [L2/AN] • Reliable infrastructure in D could reduce delays and protect brand image resulting in higher sales [AN] • Lower fixed costs in C could improve cash flow reducing risk of liquidity problems for K [AN] • Economies of scale in D may reduce per-unit costs and therefore increase profit [AN] AO4 Evaluation <i>Limited evaluation [EVAL] – limited supported judgement and/or a weak attempt at evaluative comment. Developed evaluation [L2/EVAL] – supported judgement and/or reasonable evaluative comment.</i> <i>Developed evaluation in context [L3/EVAL] – supported judgement in context and/or reasonable evaluative comment in context.</i> • Supported judgement as to whether country C or D is better. • Factors that decision might depend on: – Ability of KTJ to raise finance for more expensive option. – Willingness to take risk – electricity supply in country C and additional capital cost in D – Fit with KTJ's CSR – small farms rather than large scale fruit production – Importance of profit. C is marginally more profitable than D. – Depends on KTJ's tolerance for operational risk versus willingness to commit higher capital expenditure – Country D offers greater stability and scalability but requires larger upfront investment – Country C better supports CSR positioning but may compromise operational reliability – A phased investment strategy could balance initial risk with future growth potential – Decision may be influenced by external factors such as currency movements, access to finance and market growth trends • Questioning whether Gudaf has the necessary experience / skill to conduct this investment appraisal. Accept all valid responses.
------	--